

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,302	06/06/2003	Brian J. Schimmoller	P-122152.01 (UTI)	5876	
7590 12/21/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
JACKSON W	ALKER L.L.P.	RAEVIS, R	RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
Suite 2100 112 E. Pecan Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Antonio, TX 78205			2856		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		New
Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ko
10/601,302	SCHIMMOLLER ET	AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert R. Raevis	2856	

, .	Examino	A1. 0111.	i
	Robert R. Raevis	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 00.1)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6-13 and 15 would 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	arata timaly filed am	andmont
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if subtrilitied iff a sep-	arate, timely liled allie	mament
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 6-13 and 15.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	, , , ,	. ,	
. *		RAEVIS AU2856	

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 14 filed 12-12-05 has "; and " at its end, suggesting additional limitaitons that are not provided. Applicant may have intended the quoted passage to be a period.

RAEM